

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,810	03/23/2001	John S. Thomas	73169 278101	5809
7:	590 10/15/2004	EXAMINER		
	WINTHROP LLP	PHU, PHUONG M		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1600 TYSONS BOULEVARD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA			2631	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/816,8	10	THOMAS ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Phuong F	Phu	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>23 March 200</u> 1.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is n	on-final.		•		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	·= ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	• •		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-13, drawn to encoding and decoding data in a communication system, classified in class 375, subclass 259.
 - II. Claims 14-30, drawn to determining weight values during decoding signals encoded on plurality of frequency bins, classified in class 375, subclass 260.
- III. Claims 31-42, drawn to Viterbi decoding, classified in class 375, subclass 262.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because:

The invention I, for instance, does not require procedures of determining dynamic weights and static weights, and combining the dynamic weights and the static weights, in associated with limitations recited in independent claims 13 and 28 and their respective dependent claims of invention II. The subcombination has separate utility such as determining a dynamic weight for each of the respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins; determining a static weight for each of the respective plurality of signals within

the respective plurality of frequency bins, and combining the dynamic weight and the static weight for each of he respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins (see claim 13); and means for determining a dynamic weight, means for determining a static weight and a combiner (see claim 28).

3. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because:

The invention I, for instance, does not require procedures of determining a weight for each of the respective plurality of signals based on a channel estimate or on feedback received from previous received signals, and applying the weights to a Viterbi decoding process, in associated with limitations recited in independent claims 31, 37 and 40 and their respective dependent claims of invention III. The subcombination has separate utility such as procedure of determining a weight for each of the respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins, based in part on a channel estimate (see claim 31), or based in part on feedback received from previous received signals (see claims 37 and 40) or, and applying each weight to bit metrics associated with one of the respective plurality of signals during a Viterbi decoding process.

4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be

Application/Control Number: 09/816,810 Page 4

Art Unit: 2631

separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as determining a dynamic weight for each of the respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins; determining a static weight for each of the respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins, and combining the dynamic weight and the static weight for each of he respective plurality of signals within the respective plurality of frequency bins (see claim 13); and means for determining a dynamic weight, means for determining a static weight and a combiner (see claim 28). See MPEP § 806.05(d).

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Attorney Donald Bird on 09/20/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2631

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-2:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631 Page 5

Phuong Phu 09/22/04

Phing phis

PRIVONG PHU PRIMARY EXAMINE: